

Using Symmetry Preserving Maps to Determine the Symmetries of Attractors for Dynamical Systems

A Summary of Work done for a NSF REU program at Northern Arizona University *

Jeffrey H. Schenker
University of Wyoming
Laramie, Wy 82071
deroble@plains.uwyo.edu

15 September 1995

Abstract

The concept of symmetry in dynamical systems is considered. Several lemmas regarding isotropy subgroups and isotropy equivalence are presented. A theorem regarding the density of symmetry preserving maps in $C^k(M, V)$ where M and V are real vector spaces is proved. Potential applications to differential equations with D_n and $D_n \times Z_2$ symmetry are indicated.

1 Introduction

The phase space of many dynamical systems is of high enough dimension so as to make it difficult to visualize the attractors (See, for example, [7] in which the phase space is R^{12}). We wish to visualize the symmetries of attractors by mapping them into low dimensional spaces (preferably $\dim \leq 3$) in such a way that symmetry is preserved.

Recently, a theory of *symmetry detectives* has been developed [2, 4, 1]. Symmetry detective theory provides a method for *computing* the symmetry of an attractor for a dynamical system. The work presented herein proposes a method in which the symmetry of an attractor is *observed visually*.

*Advisor: Dr. James W. Swift, Northern Arizona University

1.1 Dynamical Systems

There are two ways to construct a dynamical system from a map:

$$f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n \quad (1.1)$$

1. The function can be used to generate a discrete system in which the orbits:

$$x_0 \xrightarrow{f} x_1 \xrightarrow{f} x_2 \xrightarrow{f} x_3 \xrightarrow{f} \dots \quad (1.2)$$

are considered for various starting values $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

2. The function can be used to generate the vector field of a first order ODE:

$$\dot{x} = f(x) \quad (1.3)$$

In this case, the orbits are the solution curves to the ODE.

If f defines a dynamical system in either of these ways, we will say that the dynamical system *stems from* f .

For either a discrete system or an ODE, *attractors* are periodic, quasi-periodic, or chaotic orbits to which nearby orbits “tend.” There is no universally accepted definition of an attractor, but the following properties are fairly general:

1. An attractor contains a dense topologically transitive orbit.
2. An attractor is contained in an open basin of attraction.

1.2 Symmetries and Dynamical Systems

Suppose that there is a dynamical system which stems from $f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$. Suppose that some finite group, Γ , acts faithfully on \mathbf{R}^n (for a discussion of group actions see [6, ch. 4]). If

$$f(\gamma \bullet x) = \gamma \bullet f(x) \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma \quad (1.4)$$

then f is said to be Γ *equivariant*.

Lemma 1.1 *If A is an attractor with an open basin of attraction for a dynamical system which stems from a Γ equivariant function then:*

$$\gamma A = A \text{ or } \gamma A \cap A = \emptyset \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma. \quad (1.5)$$

Lemma 1.1 is proved in [3].

We define the symmetry subgroup of an attractor (or an arbitrary set) to be:

$$\Sigma(A) := \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma A = A\}. \quad (1.6)$$

If A is an attractor for a Γ equivariant function, it may not be true that $\Sigma(A) = \Gamma$. Occurences of this fact can be found even in low dimensional systems such as the Lorenz Equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= -\sigma x + \sigma y \\ \dot{y} &= \rho x - y - xz \\ \dot{z} &= -\beta z + xy\end{aligned}\tag{1.7}$$

These equations are equivariant under the transformation $(x, y, z) \mapsto (-x, -y, z)$, which can be considered an action of \mathbf{Z}_2 on \mathbf{R}^3 . Numerical simulations indicate that at $\sigma = 10$, $\rho = 93$, $\beta = \frac{8}{3}$ there is an attracting periodic orbit which has full symmetry (\mathbf{Z}_2). At $\sigma = 10$, $\rho = 100$, $\beta = \frac{8}{3}$ there is an attracting periodic orbit which has trivial symmetry ($\{1\}$).

Nevertheless, if A is an attractor then, given any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, γA is an attractor. If $\gamma \in \Sigma(A)$ then $\gamma A = A$, otherwise γA is said to be *conjugate* to A .

1.3 Group Representations and Isotropy

If the action of Γ on a vector space V is linear, we say that V is a *representation* of Γ (more strictly, the group homomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ induced by the action is a representation and V is a representation space).

It is possible to define the symmetry group of a single point $x \in V$:

$$\Sigma(x) := \Sigma(\{x\}) = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma x = x\}\tag{1.8}$$

If $G \leq \Gamma$ and $G = \Sigma(x)$ for some $x \in V$ then G is said to be an *isotropy subgroup* for the representation V . For a set $A \subseteq V$ we define:

$$T(A) := \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma x = x \ \forall x \in A\}\tag{1.9}$$

$T(A)$ is called the isotropy subgroup of A . Note that:

$$T(A) = \bigcap_{x \in A} \Sigma(x).\tag{1.10}$$

In Lemma 2.3 we show that the intersection of two isotropy subgroups is an isotropy subgroup. Since we consider only finite groups, the intersection in Equation (1.10) is finite. Thus $T(A)$ is an isotropy subgroup.

We define the fixed point subspace of a subgroup:

$$\text{Fix}_V(H) = \{x \in V \mid hx = x \ \forall h \in H\}\tag{1.11}$$

where $H \leq \Gamma$. Note that:

1. $\text{Fix}_V(H)$ is a vector space.
2. If $H \leq G$ then $\text{Fix}_V(G) \subseteq \text{Fix}_V(H)$.

2 Isotropy Equivalence

The work on symmetry preserving maps contained herein and the work on symmetry detectives in [1] depend on the notion of *isotropy equivalent* representations. In this section, we develop some theory regarding this notion.

Definition 2.1 *We say that two representations of a finite group Γ , V_1 and V_2 are isotropy equivalent if H is an isotropy subgroup for the action of Γ on V_1 if and only if H is an isotropy subgroup for the action of Γ on V_2*

We will use the notation $V_1 \sim V_2$ to indicate isotropy equivalence when the group Γ is understood. Note that \sim is an equivalence relation.

The following three lemmas develop several properties of isotropy subgroups.

Lemma 2.1 *Let Γ be a finite group with representation V . Let $H \leq \Gamma$. The following are equivalent:*

1. H is an isotropy subgroup.
2. For all $G \leq \Gamma$ such that $G \geq H$, $\text{Fix}_V(G) = \text{Fix}_V(H)$ if and only if $G=H$.
3. $\text{Fix}_V(G) \subset \text{Fix}_V(H)$ for all $G \leq \Gamma$ such that $G > H$.

We take \subset and $<$ to mean proper subset and proper subgroup respectively.

Proof:

(1 \Rightarrow 2) Assume that H is an isotropy subgroup. Let $G \leq \Gamma$ such that $G \geq H$. Clearly if $G = H$ then $\text{Fix}_V(G) = \text{Fix}_V(H)$. If $\text{Fix}_V(G) = \text{Fix}_V(H)$ then there exists $v \in \text{Fix}_V(H)$ such that $H = \Sigma(v)$ (since H is an isotropy subgroup). But then $v \in \text{Fix}_V(G)$ and since $H = \Sigma(v)$, $G \leq H$. Thus $G = H$.

(2 \Rightarrow 3) Assume that for all $G \leq \Gamma$ such that $G \geq H$, $\text{Fix}_V(G) = \text{Fix}_V(H)$ if and only if $G=H$. Let $G \leq \Gamma$ such that $G > H$. Then $\text{Fix}_V(G) \neq \text{Fix}_V(H)$. But, $\text{Fix}_V(G) \subseteq \text{Fix}_V(H)$, so $\text{Fix}_V(G) \subset \text{Fix}_V(H)$.

(3 \Rightarrow 1) Assume that $\text{Fix}_V(G) \subset \text{Fix}_V(H)$ for all $G \leq \Gamma$ such that $G > H$. Let $\mathcal{G} = \{G \leq \Gamma \mid H < G\}$. Now $\text{Fix}_V(G) \subset \text{Fix}_V(H)$ for all $G \in \mathcal{G}$. But each of the fixed point subspaces are *subspaces*, consequently, there exists

$$v \in \text{Fix}_V(H) \setminus \bigcup_{G \in \mathcal{G}} \text{Fix}_V(G). \quad (2.1)$$

But this implies that $H = \Sigma(v)$, so H is an isotropy subgroup.

□

Lemma 2.2 *Let Γ be a finite group with representation V . If $H \leq \Gamma$ then there exists $F \leq \Gamma$ such that $H \leq F$, $\text{Fix}_V(H) = \text{Fix}_V(F)$, and F is an isotropy subgroup.*

Proof: Let F be the subgroup of pointwise symmetries of $\text{Fix}_V(H)$, i.e.:

$$F = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma v = v \ \forall v \in \text{Fix}_V(H)\}. \quad (2.2)$$

Clearly, $H \leq F$ and $\text{Fix}_V(F) = \text{Fix}_V(H)$. Now let $J \leq \Gamma$ such that $J > F$. Then, there exists $j \in J$ and $v \in \text{Fix}_V(H)$ such that $jv \neq v$. Thus, $\text{Fix}_V(J) \subset \text{Fix}_V(F)$. Thus, by Lemma 2.1, F is an isotropy subgroup. \square

Lemma 2.3 *Let $H, G \leq \Gamma$ be isotropy subgroups for a representation V . Then $H \cap G$ is an isotropy subgroup.*

Proof: If $H = G$ this is trivial, so assume $H \neq G$. Clearly $\text{Fix}_V(G) \subseteq \text{Fix}_V(G \cap H)$ and $\text{Fix}_V(H) \subseteq \text{Fix}_V(G \cap H)$. Let $F \leq \Gamma$ such that $F > G \cap H$. Then there is $f \in F$ such that $f \notin G$ or $f \notin H$. Since H and G are isotropy subgroups, either $\text{Fix}_V(G) \subsetneq \text{Fix}_V(F)$ or $\text{Fix}_V(H) \subsetneq \text{Fix}_V(F)$. Thus $\text{Fix}_V(F) \neq \text{Fix}_V(G \cap H)$. Thus by Lemma 2.1, $H \cap G$ is an isotropy subgroup. \square

Note that Γ is always an isotropy subgroup. If the action of Γ on V is faithful, then 1 is an isotropy subgroup, otherwise the kernel of the action is an isotropy subgroup. In fact, Lemma 2.2 indicates that every subgroup is contained in a “smallest” isotropy subgroup.

Now we present two lemmas. The first of these provides a method for showing that two representations are isotropy equivalent. The second allows us to reduce the size of representations by removing isotropically equivalent representations which are “redundant.”

Lemma 2.4 *Let Γ be a finite group with representations V_1 and V_2 . Suppose that:*

$$\text{Fix}_{V_1}(G) = \text{Fix}_{V_1}(H) \iff \text{Fix}_{V_2}(G) = \text{Fix}_{V_2}(H) \quad (2.3)$$

whenever $H \leq G \leq \Gamma$. Then $V_1 \sim V_2$.

Proof: H is an isotropy subgroup for V_1 if and only if for every $G \leq \Gamma$ such that $G \geq H$, $G = H$ whenever $\text{Fix}_{V_1}(G) = \text{Fix}_{V_1}(H)$ (see Lemma 2.1). Thus by hypothesis, H is an isotropy subgroup for V_1 if and only if for every $G \leq \Gamma$ such that $G \geq H$, $\text{Fix}_{V_2}(G) = \text{Fix}_{V_2}(H)$ whenever $G = H$. Therefore by Lemma 2.1 H is an isotropy subgroup for V_1 if and only if H is an isotropy subgroup for V_2 . Thus $V_1 \sim V_2$. \square

Lemma 2.5 *Let Γ be a finite group with representations V_1 , V_2 , and V_3 . If $V_1 \sim V_2$ then $V_1 \oplus V_3 \sim V_2 \oplus V_3$.*

Proof: Clearly, if H is an isotropy subgroup for $V_\perp \oplus V_1$ then H is an isotropy subgroup for $V_\perp \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2$. Now let H be an isotropy subgroup for $V_\perp \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2$. We claim that $H = F \cap G_1 \cap G_2$ for some isotropy subgroups F for V_\perp , G_1 for V_1 and G_2 for V_2 . To show this, note that if $H = \Sigma((v_\perp, v_1, v_2))$ then elements of H must fix (v_\perp, v_1, v_2) coordinate-wise, thus $H \leq F \cap G_1 \cap G_2$ where $F = \Sigma(v_\perp)$, $G_1 = \Sigma(v_1)$, $G_2 = \Sigma(v_2)$. However, any element of $F \cap G_1 \cap G_2$ fixes (v_\perp, v_1, v_2) , so $F \cap G_1 \cap G_2 = H$. By Lemma 2.3, $G_1 \cap G_2$ is an isotropy subgroup of V_1 . Therefore H is an isotropy subgroup for $V_\perp \oplus V_1$. \square

3 Symmetry Preserving Maps

3.1 Some Definitions

Let \mathbf{M} and \mathbf{V} be real representations of a finite group Γ . Suppose that the action of Γ on \mathbf{M} is faithful. Let a dynamical system stem from a Γ equivariant $f : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$. Let

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{M}) = \{A \subseteq \mathbf{M} \mid A \text{ is compact and } \gamma A \cap A = \emptyset \text{ or } \gamma A = A \ \forall \gamma \in \Gamma\} \quad (3.1)$$

Then, by Lemma 1.1, all bounded attractors for the dynamical system are elements of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{M})$.

Let $C_\Gamma^k(\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{V})$ be the set of all k times differentiable Γ equivariant functions from \mathbf{M} to \mathbf{V} . This is a topological space under the standard C^k distance (see [5]).

Lemma 3.1 *For any $\phi \in C_\Gamma^k(\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{V})$, $A \subset \mathbf{M}$:*

1. $\Sigma(A) \leq \Sigma(\phi(A))$
2. $T(A) \leq T(\phi(A))$

Proof: Let $\rho \in \Sigma(A)$. Then $\rho\phi(A) = \phi(\rho A) = \phi(A)$. Thus $\rho \in \Sigma(\phi(A))$. The remaining item is similar. \square

3.2 A Theorem Regarding Symmetry Preserving Maps

Note that $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{R}^m$ for some m . We consider $S^{m-1} \subset \mathbf{V}$. We can represent all elements $v \in \mathbf{V}$, $v \neq 0$ uniquely as a product:

$$v = r\hat{s} \quad (3.2)$$

for some $r \in [0, \infty)$ and $\hat{s} \in S^{m-1}$. Because we consider $S^{m-1} \subset \mathbf{V}$, Γ can act on elements of S^{m-1} . We assume that the action is orthogonal so that $\gamma\hat{s} \in S^{m-1}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\hat{s} \in S$. Thus, given $r\hat{s} \in \mathbf{V}$, $\gamma(r\hat{s}) = r(\gamma\hat{s})$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Suppose we have a compact set $U \subset V$. We define $X_U : S^{m-1} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$:

$$X_U(\hat{s}) := \begin{cases} r & \text{maximal such that } r\hat{s} \in U \\ 0 & \text{if } r\hat{s} \notin U \text{ for any } r \in [0, \infty) \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

The compactness of U guarantees that it is closed and bounded, so this function is well defined at every point.

Theorem 3.1 *Let Γ act faithfully on M and orthogonally on V . Let $\mathcal{A}(M)$ be defined as above. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}(M)$. If $T(A)$ is an isotropy subgroup for V , then:*

1. *There exists an open dense set $\mathcal{O} \subset C_\Gamma^k(M, V)$ such that $T(\phi(A)) = T(A)$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{O}$.*
2. *If $\phi \in C_\Gamma^k(M, V)$ such that there is some point $a \in A$ for which $\phi(a)$ has isotropy $T(A)$ then there exists $\tilde{\phi} \in C_\Gamma^k(M, V)$ arbitrarily close to ϕ (with respect to the C^k topology) such that:*

$$\Sigma(A) = \Sigma(\tilde{\phi}(A)) \quad (3.4)$$

Proof: Suppose that $\psi \in C_\Gamma^k$ such that $T(\psi(A)) \geq T(A)$. Pick a point $a \in A$ such that $\Sigma(a) = T(A)$. Pick $v \in V$ such that $\Sigma(v) = T(A)$.

Let $\delta > 0$ such that $B_\delta(a) \cap \gamma B_\delta(a) = \emptyset$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\gamma \notin T(A)$. Let $\eta : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ have support $B_\delta(a)$ with $\eta(a) = 1$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Define:

$$\phi(x) = \psi(x) + \epsilon \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma v \eta(\gamma^{-1}x) \quad (3.5)$$

Now $\phi(a) = \psi(a) + \epsilon |T(A)|v$. For ϵ small enough, $\Sigma(\phi(a)) = T(A)$. Thus, $T(\phi(a)) \leq T(A)$. But ϕ is Γ equivariant, so $T(\phi(a)) \geq T(A)$. Since ϵ may be as small as we like, \mathcal{O} is dense.

Openness is clear since points with isotropy $T(A)$ are dense in $\text{Fix}_V(T(A))$. This concludes the proof of item 1.

Define

$$R = \max_{x \in A} |\phi(x)| = \max_{\hat{s} \in S^{m-1}} X_{\phi(A)}(\hat{s}) \quad (3.6)$$

Pick $\beta \in \Gamma$ such that

$$X_{\phi(A)}\left(\beta \frac{\phi(a)}{|\phi(a)|}\right) = \max_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_{\phi(A)}\left(\gamma \frac{\phi(a)}{|\phi(a)|}\right) \quad (3.7)$$

Let $\hat{v} = \beta \frac{\phi(a)}{|\phi(a)|}$. Let $b \in A$ such that $\phi(b) = X_{\phi(A)}(\hat{v})\hat{v}$.

Note that $\phi(A) \subseteq B_R(0)$ and $\phi(b) \leq R$. We wish to define an invertible Γ equivariant function $\psi : V \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} 1) \quad & \psi \circ \phi(A) \subseteq B_R(0) \\ 2) \quad & \psi \circ \phi(b) = R \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

We wish ψ to be invertible and Γ equivariant so that:

$$\Sigma(\psi(U)) = \Sigma(U) \quad (3.9)$$

for any set $U \subseteq \mathbf{V}$.

Define $H : S^{m-1} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} 1) \quad & H(\hat{v}) = \frac{R}{|\phi(b)|} - 1. \\ 2) \quad & H(\hat{s}) = 0 \quad \forall \hat{s} \in S^{m-1}, \hat{s} \neq \hat{v}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

We define a Γ invariant extension of H :

$$\hat{H}(\hat{s}) = \frac{1}{|\Sigma(\hat{v})|} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} H(\gamma \hat{s}). \quad (3.11)$$

\hat{H} satisfies two properties, similar to those of H :

$$\begin{aligned} 1) \quad & \hat{H}(\gamma \hat{v}) = \frac{R}{|\phi(b)|} - 1 \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma. \\ 2) \quad & \hat{H}(\hat{s}) = 0 \quad \forall \hat{s} \in S^{m-1}, \hat{s} \neq \gamma \hat{v} \text{ for some } \gamma \in \Gamma. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Note that for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $|\Sigma(\hat{v})| = |\Sigma(\gamma \hat{v})| = |T(A)|$. Consequently, by the choice of β :

$$(1 + \hat{H}(\hat{s}))X_{\phi(A)}(\hat{s}) \leq R \quad \forall \hat{s} \in S^{m-1} \quad (3.13)$$

We can use \hat{H} to define an invertible function, $\psi : \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$:

$$\psi(r\hat{s}) = [r(1 + \hat{H}(\hat{s}))] \hat{s} \quad (3.14)$$

It is easy to check that ψ is Γ equivariant and satisfies the properties in Equation (3.8).

Let $\delta > 0$ such that $B_\delta(\rho b) \cap A = \emptyset$ whenever $\rho \notin \Sigma(A)$ and $B_\delta(\rho b) \cap B_\delta(b) = \emptyset$ whenever $\rho \notin T(A)$. Let $\eta \in C^k(\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{R})$ have support $B_\delta(b)$ with $\eta(b) = 1$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Define $\tilde{\phi}$:

$$\psi \circ \tilde{\phi}(x) = \left(1 + \epsilon \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \eta(\gamma x) \right) \psi \circ \phi(x) \quad (3.15)$$

It is easy to check that $\psi \circ \tilde{\phi}$ is Γ equivariant. Thus:

$$\Sigma(A) \leq \Sigma(\psi \circ \tilde{\phi}(A)) \quad (3.16)$$

Note that $|\psi \circ \tilde{\phi}(b)| = R + \epsilon|T(A)|$ and $|\psi \circ \tilde{\phi}(x)| > R$ if and only if $x \in \rho B_\delta(b)$ for some $\rho \in \Sigma(A)$.

Let $\rho \in \Sigma(\psi \circ \tilde{\phi}(A))$. Then there exists $c \in A$ such that $\psi \circ \tilde{\phi}(c) = \rho \psi \circ \tilde{\phi}(b)$. Thus, $|\psi \circ \tilde{\phi}(c)| = R + \epsilon|T(A)| > R$. Thus, there exists $d \in B_\delta(b)$ such that $c = \rho' d$ for some $\rho' \in \Sigma(A)$. Now, if $d \neq b$, we can choose $\delta_1 < \delta$ so that $d \notin B_{\delta_1}(b)$. Then there exists $d_1 \in B_{\delta_1}(b)$ such that $c = \rho_1' d$ for some $\rho_1' \in \Sigma(A)$. However, because the actions of ρ_1' and ρ' are invertible linear transformations, we must

have $\rho_1' \neq \rho'$. Consequently, since Γ is finite, we must be able to choose δ small enough that $d = b$. Therefore $\rho\psi \circ \tilde{\phi}(b) = \rho'\psi \circ \tilde{\phi}(b)$. Thus:

$$\rho'^{-1}\rho \in \Sigma(\psi \circ \tilde{\phi}(b)) = T(A) \leq \Sigma(A). \quad (3.17)$$

Consequently, $\rho \in \Sigma(A)$ and $\Sigma(A) = \Sigma(\psi \circ \tilde{\phi}(A)) = \Sigma(\tilde{\phi}(A))$.

By definition of ψ , $\psi(r\hat{s}) = r\psi(\hat{s})$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\phi}(x) &= \psi^{-1} \left[\left(1 + \epsilon \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \eta(\gamma x) \right) \psi \circ \phi(x) \right] \\ &= \left(1 + \epsilon \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \eta(\gamma x) \right) \phi(x). \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Thus,

$$\|\tilde{\phi} - \phi\|_{C^k} \leq \epsilon |\Gamma| \|\eta\|_{C^k} \|\phi\|_{C^k} \quad (3.19)$$

which can be made as small as possible. □

Corollary 3.1 *Let Γ act faithfully on M and orthogonally on V . Let $\mathcal{A}(M)$ be defined as above. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}(M)$. If $M \sim V$ then there exists an open dense set $\mathcal{O} \subset C_\Gamma^k(M, V)$ such that for all $\phi \in \mathcal{O}$:*

$$\Sigma(A) = \Sigma(\phi(A)) \quad (3.20)$$

Corollary 3.2 *Let Γ act faithfully on M and orthogonally on V . Let $\mathcal{A}(M)$ be defined as above. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}(M)$. If $T(A)$ is an isotropy subgroup for V then there exists an open dense set $\mathcal{O} \subset C_\Gamma^k(M, V)$ such that for all $\phi \in \mathcal{O}$:*

$$\Sigma(A) = \Sigma(\phi(A)) \quad (3.21)$$

4 Systems with D_n and $D_n \times Z_2$ symmetry

Two common classes of symmetry groups for differential equations are:

1. $D_n = \langle \rho, \kappa \mid \rho^n = \kappa^2 = 1, \rho\kappa = \kappa\rho^{n-1} \rangle$
2. $D_n \times Z_2 \cong \langle \rho, \kappa, \sigma \mid \rho^n = \kappa^2 = \sigma^2 = 1, \kappa\sigma = \sigma\kappa, \rho\kappa = \kappa\rho, \rho\kappa = \kappa\rho^{n-1} \rangle$

We define

$$\Gamma_n := \langle \rho, \kappa, \sigma \mid \rho^n = \kappa^2 = \sigma^2 = 1, \kappa\sigma = \sigma\kappa, \rho\kappa = \kappa\rho, \rho\kappa = \kappa\rho^{n-1} \rangle \quad (4.1)$$

and consider $D_n < \Gamma_n$.

It is common for Γ_n to act on $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ as follows:

$$\rho \bullet (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = (x_{n-1}, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}) \quad (4.2)$$

$$\kappa \bullet (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = (x_0, x_{n-1}, \dots, x_1) \quad (4.3)$$

$$\sigma \bullet (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = (-x_0, -x_1, \dots, -x_{n-1}) \quad (4.4)$$

Since this action is linear, let ρ_M be the matrix of the action of ρ , κ_M and σ_M similarly. We use the notation $(\rho_M)_{ij}$ for the i, j component of ρ_M where i and j range from 0 to $n - 1$.

$$(\rho_M)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i - j \equiv 1 \pmod{n} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

$$(\kappa_M)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i + j \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

$$(\sigma_M)_{ij} = -\delta_{ij} \quad (4.7)$$

Where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta:

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq j \\ 1 & i = j \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

When using matrix notation, we consider elements of M to be column vectors. The matrices operate from the left.

4.1 A Symmetry Preserving Map from M into \mathbb{C}^n

Now, consider the linear transformation $\mathcal{Z} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ defined by its matrix:

$$(\mathcal{Z})_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \omega^{ij} \quad (4.9)$$

where $\omega = e^{i\frac{2\pi}{n}}$.

Note that $(\mathcal{Z}^\dagger)_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \omega^{-ij}$, so that:

$$(\mathcal{Z}^\dagger \mathcal{Z})_{ij} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega^{-ik} \omega^{kj} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega^{k(j-i)} = \delta_{ij} \quad (4.10)$$

I.e., \mathcal{Z} is unitary.

Remark 1 We have used the fact that if α is an n^{th} root of unity and $\alpha \neq 1$ then

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha^i = 0 \quad (4.11)$$

which can easily be verified by noting that:

$$\alpha \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha^i = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha^i = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha^i = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha^i \quad (4.12)$$

So, $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha^i = 0$.

Thus \mathcal{Z} is an invertible linear transformation from M into \mathbb{C}^n . Let $V = \mathcal{Z}(M)$, i.e. the range of \mathcal{Z} . There is a natural action of Γ_n induced on V by \mathcal{Z} . It is easiest to express this action in terms of the matrices of the action:

$$\rho_V = \mathcal{Z} \rho_M \mathcal{Z}^\dagger \quad (4.13)$$

$$\kappa_V = \mathcal{Z} \kappa_M \mathcal{Z}^\dagger \quad (4.14)$$

$$\sigma_V = \mathcal{Z} \sigma_M \mathcal{Z}^\dagger \quad (4.15)$$

We now calculate these matrices:

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho_V)_{ij} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \omega^{ik} (\rho_M)_{kh} \omega^{-hj} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \omega^{ik-hj} (\rho_M)_{kh} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega^{ik-(k-1)j} = \frac{\omega^j}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega^{k(i-j)} = \omega^j \delta_{ij} \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

$$(\kappa_V)_{ij} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \omega^{ik-hj} (\kappa_M)_{kh} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i+j \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = (\kappa_M)_{ij} \quad (4.17)$$

$$(\sigma_V)_{ij} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \omega^{ik-hj} \delta_{kh} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} -\omega^{ik-kj} = -\delta_{ij} = (\sigma_M)_{ij} \quad (4.18)$$

V must have real dimension n , and is thus a proper (real) subspace of \mathbb{C}^n . Note that if $z \in V$ then $z = \mathcal{Z}x$ for some $x \in M$ and consequently:

$$z_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \omega^{ij} x_j = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \bar{\omega}^{(n-i)j} \bar{x}_j = \bar{z}_{n-i} \quad (4.19)$$

So V is the subspace of \mathbb{C}^n which is the fixed point subspace of the operator \mathcal{R} defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{R} \\ (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) &\longmapsto (z_0, \bar{z}_{n-1}, \dots, \bar{z}_1) \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

\mathcal{R} is not linear with respect to the complex structure of \mathbb{C}^n , but it is a *real* linear operator (if \mathbb{C}^n is considered as a real vector space (\mathbb{R}^{2n})).

Note that z_0 is real and that for n even, $z_{n/2}$ is real. This motivates defining the following subspaces of \mathbb{C}^n :

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 &= \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid z_0 = \bar{z}_0, z_i = 0 \text{ if } i \neq 0\} \\ V_1 &= \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid z_i = 0 \text{ if } i \neq 1\} \\ &\vdots \\ V_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1} &= \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid z_i = 0 \text{ if } i \neq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1\} \\ V_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} &= \begin{cases} \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid z_i = 0 \text{ if } i \neq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor\} & n \text{ odd} \\ \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid z_{\frac{n}{2}} = \bar{z}_{\frac{n}{2}}, z_i = 0 \text{ if } i \neq \frac{n}{2}\} & n \text{ even} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

Now consider the action of \mathcal{R} on these subspaces:

$$V = \mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{R}^n) = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \oplus \begin{cases} \mathcal{R}(V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}) & n \text{ odd} \\ \mathcal{R}(V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_{\frac{n}{2}}) & n \text{ even} \end{cases} \quad (4.22)$$

Note that the action of κ on $v \in V$ is to exchange v_i with v_{n-i} . However $v_i = \bar{v}_{n-i}$, so $\kappa \bullet v = \bar{v}$. The actions of ρ and σ on V are diagonal. If we take

$$V' = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \quad (4.23)$$

then the action of Γ_n on this space is well defined. Note that the map $\mathcal{Z}' : M \rightarrow V'$ defined by $\mathcal{Z}' = \mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{Z}$, where $\mathcal{P} : V \rightarrow V'$ projects V onto V' by suppressing V_i for $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor < i \leq n-1$, is invertible *so it preserves symmetries*. For theoretical purposes it is easier to work with \mathcal{Z} , but for practical applications \mathcal{Z}' provides all of the necessary information.

Note that \mathcal{Z}' can be represented by a complex $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \times n$ matrix:

$$\mathcal{Z} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & \omega & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \omega^{n-1} \\ 1 & \omega^2 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \omega^{2(n-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ 1 & \omega^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \omega^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.24)$$

The action of Γ_n on V' is given by:

$$\kappa \bullet v = \bar{v} \quad (4.25)$$

$$\rho \bullet v = (v_0, \omega v_1, \omega^2 v_2, \dots, \omega^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} v_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}) \quad (4.26)$$

$$\sigma \bullet v = -v \quad (4.27)$$

which is linear with respect to the real structure of V' but not linear with respect to the complex structure.

4.2 Isotropy Equivalent Representations of D_n and Γ_n

Notice that because the action of Γ_n on V' is diagonal, there is an action induced on the spaces V_p where $0 \leq p \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Each of these V_p is a representation of Γ_n . Given V_p the action of Γ_n on $v \in V_p$ is:

$$\rho \bullet v_p = \omega^p v_p \quad (4.28)$$

$$\kappa \bullet v_p = \bar{v}_p \quad (4.29)$$

$$\sigma \bullet v_p = -v_p \quad (4.30)$$

Theorem 4.1 *V_p and V_q are isotropy equivalent representations of Γ_n if and only if $\gcd(p, n) = \gcd(q, n)$. The same result holds if Γ_n is replaced by D_n .*

We present a proof of this theorem for V_p and V_q representations of D_n . The proof is very similar for Γ_n but requires a few more cases. We will use the following facts in the proof of the theorem:

1. Let $d = \gcd(p, n)$. The only elements of D_n which act trivially on V_p are powers of $\rho^{\frac{n}{d}}$.
2. $\text{Fix}_{V_p}(\langle \rho^a \kappa \rangle) = \{re^{i\frac{pa\pi}{n}} \mid r \in \mathbf{R}\}$
3. Let $G \leq D_n$. Then one of the following three items must be true:
 - (a) $\text{Fix}_{V_p}(G) = 0$.
 - (b) $\text{Fix}_{V_p}(G) = \{re^{i\frac{pa\pi}{n}} \mid r \in \mathbf{R}\}$ for some $a \in \mathbf{Z}$, $0 \leq a < n$.
 - (c) $\text{Fix}_{V_p}(G) = V_p$.

Proof:(of Theorem 4.1) Let $H \leq G \leq D_n$. Using Lemma 2.4, it suffices to show that

$$\text{Fix}_{V_p}(G) = \text{Fix}_{V_p}(H) \Rightarrow \text{Fix}_{V_q}(G) = \text{Fix}_{V_q}(H). \quad (4.31)$$

We consider three cases:

1. $\text{Fix}_{V_p}(G) = \text{Fix}_{V_p}(H) = V_p$. Then both G and H consist of elements that act trivially on V_p . Note that elements of D_n act trivially on V_p if and only if they act trivially on V_q . Thus $\text{Fix}_{V_q}(G) = \text{Fix}_{V_q}(H)$.
2. $\text{Fix}_{V_p}(G) = \text{Fix}_{V_p}(H) = \{re^{i\frac{pa\pi}{n}} \mid r \in \mathbf{R}\}$ for some $0 \leq a < n$. Then G and H consist only of reflections and elements that act trivially. Suppose that one of the reflections is $\rho^b \kappa$ for some $0 \leq b < n$. Then $\text{Fix}_{V_q}(G) \subseteq \text{Fix}_{V_q}(H) \subseteq \{re^{i\frac{qb}{n}}\}$. Now, $\text{Fix}_{V_q}(G) \neq 0$, since G contains only reflections and elements that act trivially on V_q . Thus $\text{Fix}_{V_q}(G) = \text{Fix}_{V_q}(H)$.
3. $\text{Fix}_{V_p}(G) = \text{Fix}_{V_p}(H) = \{0\}$. Then there is some rotation in H which does not act trivially. This rotation does not act trivially in V_q . Thus, $\text{Fix}_{V_q}(G) = \text{Fix}_{V_q}(H) = \{0\}$

□

Corollary 4.1 Let $\mathcal{P}_n = \{p \in \mathbf{Z}^+ \mid p \mid n\}$. Then:

1. Considering the action of Γ_n :

$$R^n \sim V_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} V_p \quad (4.32)$$

2. Considering the action of D_n :

$$R^n \sim \bigoplus_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} V_p \quad (4.33)$$

Proof: Use the map \mathcal{Z}' defined in the previous section and apply Lemma 2.5.

□

4.3 Examples

We provide two examples of systems which could be analyzed by the means outlined herein. We have not completed an analysis to date, but examples will appear in a forthcoming paper.

1. The following system is introduced in [2]. It is a differential equation on \mathbf{R}^6 which is considered to be $\mathbf{R}^3 \oplus \mathbf{R}^3$. \mathbf{D}_3 acts on each copy of \mathbf{R}^3 as shown above. The equations are:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_i = y_i + \delta x_i^2 y_i \\ \dot{y}_i = x_i - (x_i^2 - \lambda)y_i + \alpha(y_{i-1} - 2y_i + y_{i+1}) + \beta x_i y_i \end{cases} \quad (4.34)$$

δ , λ , and β are parameters. The equations have \mathbf{D}_3 symmetry. Thus, by projecting an attractor into the spaces V_1 and we should be able to (in most cases) analyze the symmetry.

2. The following system is analyzed partially in [7]. It is a differential equation in $\mathbf{R}^{12} = \mathbf{R}^6 \oplus \mathbf{R}^6$. The symmetries of the equations are Γ_6 . Thus, by projecting the attractors into $V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2$, we should be able to (in most cases) analyze the symmetry.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_i = -4x_i + y_i \\ \quad + (x_i^2 + y_i^2)(Px_i - Qy_i) - \lambda(4(x_{i-1} + x_{i+1}) - 2(y_{i-1} + y_{i+1})) \\ \dot{y}_i = -x_i - 4y_i \\ \quad + (x_i^2 + y_i^2)(Px_i + Qy_i) - \lambda(2(x_{i-1} + x_{i+1}) - 4(y_{i-1} + y_{i+1})) \end{cases} \quad (4.35)$$

P , Q , and λ are parameters.

References

- [1] P. Ashwin and M. Nicol. Detection of symmetry of attractors from observations, part i: theory. Preprint, University of Warwick, 1995.
- [2] E. Barany, M. Dellnitz, and M. Golubitsky. Detecting the symmetry of attractors. *Physica D*, 67:66–87, 1993.
- [3] P. Chossat and M. Golubitsky. Symmetry increasing bifurcation of chaotic attractors. *Physica D*, 32:423–435, 1988.
- [4] M. Golubitsky and M. Nicol. Symmetry detectives for SBR attractors. To appear in *Physica D*, 1995.
- [5] M. W. Hirsch. *Differential Topology*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.
- [6] I. M. Isaacs. *Algebra: A Graduate Course*. Brooks/Cole Publishing Company, Pacific Grove, CA, 1994.

- [7] M. Kroon and I. Stewart. Detecting the symmetry of attractors for six oscillators coupled in a ring. To appear in the International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos, 1994.